Application No.	Applicant(s)

IIIIGI VIGW QUIIIIIIdi y	Inte	rview	Summar
--------------------------	------	-------	--------

09/485,320

Uchiyama et al.

Examiner

Irene Marx

Art Unit 1651

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO person	nnel):
(1) Irene Marx	3)
	4)
Date of Interview Nov 21, 2002	
Type: a) Telephonic b) □ Video Conference c) □ Personal [copy is given to 1) □ applicant 2) □	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \) e) \(\subseteq \)	No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed:	
noommeation of prior art discussed.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) Substance of Interview including description of the general nature any other comments:	
The newly presented IDS was discussed with regard to the staten	
submission from WIPO does not constitute a submission from a fo	oreign patent office in a 371 case.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	which the examiner agreed would render the claims the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) \square It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate rec	ord of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRI INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP secti already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Inte	ion 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has
	IRENE MARX PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1651
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required

\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	

Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

09/485,320

Uchiyama et al.

Examiner

Irene Marx

Art Unit 1651

	<u></u>
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	
(1) Irene Marx	(3)
(2) Mr. Kit	
Date of Interview	-
Type: a) X Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛮 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed:	
identification of prior art discussed:	
The newly presented IDS was discussed with regard to the	nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
submission from WIPO does not constitute a submission fro	om a foreign patent office in a 371 case.
Upon reconsideration, the IDS will be entered. The amenda	ment after final will not be entered. An advisory will be sent.
available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	opy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa	ate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMA INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPE already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	P section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required